### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

### HOUSTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, . CASE NO. 4:12-CR-639

PLAINTIFF,

.

V. . HOUSTON, TEXAS

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 2, 2023

JEFFREY DEROND KERSEE, . 10:29 A.M. TO 10:51 A.M.

.

DEFENDANT. .

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

### SUPERVISED RELEASE HEARING

# BEFORE THE HONORABLE RANDY CRANE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE

ELECTRONIC RECORDING OFFICER: SHANNON HOLDEN

CASE MANAGER: NOT IDENTIFIED

OFFICIAL INTERPETER: NONE PRESENT

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:

TRINITY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES
1081 Main Street
Surgoinsville, TN 37873
281-782-0802

battshott@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

### HOUSTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, . CASE NO. 4:12-CR-639

PLAINTIFF,

.

V. HOUSTON, TEXAS

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 2, 2023

JEFFREY DEROND KERSEE, . 10:29 A.M. TO 10:51 A.M.

•

DEFENDANT.

### SUPERVISED RELEASE HEARING

# BEFORE THE HONORABLE RANDY CRANE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Appearances:

For the GOVERNMENT: SHERRI L. ZACK, ESQ.

Assistant United States Attorney

Office of the United States

Attorney

1000 Louisiana, Suite 2300

Houston, TX 77002

For the DEFENDANT: VICTORIA GILCREASE-GARCIA, ESQ.

Assistant Federal Public Defender

Office of the United States

Public Defender

440 Louisiana St., Suite 1350

Houston, TX 77002

U.S. PROBATION OFFICE: Renee Moke

Transcription Service: Cheryl L. Battaglia

Trinity Transcription Services

1081 Main Street

Surgoinsville, TN 37873

281-782-0802

# 1 Houston, Texas; Wednesday, August 2, 2023; 10:29 a.m. THE COURT: And then let's see, 12-CR-639-1, USA 2 versus Jeffrey Derond Kersey. 3 Sherry Zack on behalf of the United 4 MS. ZACK: 5 States, your Honor. MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Victoria Gilcrease-Garcia on 6 7 behalf of Mr. Kersee. (Voices speaking off the record.) 8 9 (Pause in the proceeding.) 10 THE COURT: So these are going to pleas of what? True? 11 Not true? 12 MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Not true to all, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Not true to all. Okay. 14 (Pause in the proceeding.) 15 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kersee, before we get 16 started. I need the oath administered to you. Please raise 17 your right hand. 18 (Defendant sworn.) 19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kersee, can you state 20 your full name for the record? 21 **DEFENDANT KERSEE:** Jeffrey Derond Kersee. 22 THE COURT: Are you the same Jeffrey Derond Kersee 23 that was originally sentenced by Judge Hughes back in November 24 of 2013 to 10 years in prison followed by supervision, 5 years of supervision in connection with some charges of -- were

transporting a minor.

2 Are you that same person?

DEFENDANT KERSEE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you had a chance to visit with your lawyer about these new charges that are now pending that allege that you have violated some of the provisions of supervision in this case?

**DEFENDANT KERSEE:** Yes.

THE COURT: All right. So your lawyer's indicated you are going to plead not true to these allegations. When you plead not true, then you have the right to appear before Court on these charges. At this hearing, you'll be represented by a lawyer at no cost to you. At this hearing, you'll have the opportunity to question any of the Government's witnesses, object to any of their evidence.

Then you'll have the right to present any evidence in defense of these allegations, including you'll have the right to testify if you desire. But cannot be forced to do so.

Do you understand these rights?

DEFENDANT KERSEE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And if I do find you violated any provision of supervision, do you understand that you could be sent back to prison for up to three years or five years? What is it on this one?

(Pause in the proceeding.)

1	THE COURT: Nobody knows?
2	MS. ZACK: I believe it's five years.
3	THE COURT: Five years.
4	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Five.
5	THE COURT: Five years. Okay. Normally that is
6	data is provided to me, but it's not here.
7	All right. So you could you understand you could
8	be sent to prison for up to five years?
9	DEFENDANT KERSEE: Yes, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Great.
11	I'm going to have the lawyer for the Government now
12	read each allegation. When she's finished with each, you're
13	going to answer whether it is true or not true. So please
14	listen carefully.
15	MS. ZACK: Yes, your Honor.
16	"Violation Number One: On or about April
17	25 <sup>th</sup> , 2022 in Harris County, Jeffrey Derond
18	Kersee did then and there unlawfully,
19	intentionally, and knowingly damage and
20	destroy tangible property, namely one
21	window owned by Kaylee (phonetic)
22	Marsteller (phonetic), a person having a
23	greater right to possession of the property
24	than the Defendant, and hereafter styled
25	the 'Complainant,' without the effective

1	consent of the Complainant. Namely,
2	without any consent of any kind.
3	And the value of the pecuniary loss so
4	inflicted was at least \$100 and under \$750,
5	by striking the window with an unknown
6	object.
7	On October 25 <sup>th</sup> , 2022, Mr. Kersee was
8	arrested and subsequently released on bond
9	On November 1 $^{ m st}$ , 2022, the case which was
10	under <u>Cause Number 2405928</u> , in the County
11	Criminal Court of Law Number 14 of Harris
12	County, Texas, was dismissed, based on the
13	request of the complaining witness.
14	According to the criminal complaint
15	details, Miss Marsteller reported
16	Mr. Kersee became upset with Complainant
17	because she was not answering his phone
18	calls and text messages.
19	Shortly thereafter, Mr. Kersee arrived at
20	the residence by attempting to make entry
21	into the home. He stated, 'I'm going to
22	kill you.' And proceeded to shatter her
23	residence window.
24	A witness stated she woke up and heard
25	Mr. Kersee. Further the witness stated

1	Mr. Kersee yelled, 'Open the door, you
2	effing bitch. I'm going to get you.'
3	The witness observed Mr. Kersee through the
4	window blinds with an unknown object in his
5	hands. Police were contacted.
6	Violation Number Two: Aggravated robbery,
7	deadly weapon.
8	On or about December 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 2022 in Harris
9	County, Jeffery Derond Kersee did then and
10	there unlawfully, while in the course of
11	committing theft of property, owned by
12	Kaylee Marsteller with the intent to obtain
13	and maintain control of the property,
14	intentionally and knowingly threatened and
15	placed Kaylee Marsteller in fear of
16	imminent bodily injury and death. And
17	Mr. Kersee did then and there use and
18	exhibit a deadly weapon, namely, motor
19	vehicle.
20	On February 2 <sup>nd</sup> of 2023, Mr. Kersee was
21	arrested and subsequently released on bond.
22	The case, which was filed under <u>Cause</u>
23	$\underline{183968}$ in the $482^{\mathrm{nd}}$ District Court of
24	Harris County remains pending.
25	According to the criminal details, the

1	Complainant reported Mr. Kersee used his
2	fists to punch her in the face multiple
3	times, causing her nose to bleed and
4	causing her pain and discomfort.
5	After the assault, Mr. Kersee unlawfully
6	appropriated her purse, car keys, and
7	wallet with \$500 cash inside, as well
8	Mr. Marsteller's vehicle.
9	Law Violation Three: Assault family
10	member.
11	On or about December 23 <sup>rd</sup> , Harris County,
12	Texas, Jeffrey Derond Kersee did then and
13	there unlawfully, intentionally, and
14	knowingly cause bodily injury to Kaylee
15	Marsteller, hereinafter styled the
16	'Complainant,' a person with whom
17	Mr. Kersee had a dating relationship, by
18	striking the Complainant with his fists.
19	On February 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 2023, Mr. Kersee was
20	arrested and subsequently released on bond.
21	The case which was filed under <u>Cause Number</u>
22	4222029 in the County Criminal Court at Law
23	Number 14 of Harris County remains
24	pending."
25	It's my understanding, your Honor, however, that

those cases have been dismissed in Harris County the --1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MS. ZACK: -- last two. THE COURT: 4 Sure. 5 MS. ZACK: But they were not at the time of this filing. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. (Pause in the proceeding.) 8 9 MS. ZACK: And I believe your Honor has those. They were filed with the Government's exhibits. 10 All right. And so to those accusations, 11 THE COURT: 12 how do you plead? True or not true? 13 **DEFENDANT KERSEE:** Not true. 14 THE COURT: Not true. All right. 15 So the Government has some evidence you want to 16 present? I see you've seen what they filed. 17 MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Anything you want to present 18 19 in response? 20 I mean, any objection to its admission? 21 MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You do object? Or you want to -- I asked 22 23 you two questions. Sorry. 24 The -- I assume the Government's moving to admit those exhibits for purposes of this hearing?

1	MS. ZACK: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Any objection to those?
3	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: To certain portions of the
6	exhibits.
7	THE COURT: Sure. Tell me which which exhibits
8	you have any objection to.
9	(Pause in the proceeding.)
10	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Your Honor, we object to the
11	admission of hearsay statements in Exhibits 1, 2, 4 and 6.
12	THE COURT: So so the statements by the police are
13	hearsay. He's not here. The officer isn't here, or the
14	officers aren't here.
15	They quote other they interview some of the
16	report is interview of other witnesses. So we have hearsay
17	within hearsay.
18	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Yes.
19	THE COURT: What what is it that you're objecting
20	to?
21	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Well, your Honor, a few
22	arguments in support.
23	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
24	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: So the Federal Rules of
25	Evidence, of course, don't apply during revocation hearings.
	TOTNITY TOANSCOTOTION SEDVICES

But --

**THE COURT:** Right.

3 MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: -- admissibility of hearsay,

4 as the Court knows --

**THE COURT:** Sure.

MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: -- isn't automatic.

THE COURT: And confrontation clause issues. We have to respect those as well.

MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Yes, your Honor.

**THE COURT:** Right.

MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: And the Fifth Circuit and other circuits have repeatedly stated that defendants in revocation hearings have a due process right to confront and cross examine adverse witnesses. And the Court is supposed to, when deciding whether to admit those hearsay statements, consider the Defendant's right to confront those adverse witnesses, balanced against the grounds for asserting -- or the -- the grounds asserted by the Government for denying those confrontation rights.

And they should only admit those statements if they're shown to be reliable. And so, for example, the Fifth Circuit has found that it's appropriate, perhaps, to allow hearsay statements in the form of lab report information --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: -- during revocation hearings.

1	Because the Defendant's right to cross examine about the
2	science behind the lab reports, is relatively small. They can
3	challenge that science through other means. And the
4	Government's interest in present lab information, scientific
5	information, may be substantial.
6	In this situation, though, Mr. Kersee's interest in
7	cross examining the police officer, the complaining witness,
8	that's all significant. The Probation Officer may testify
9	today. But she, of course, wasn't there during the day of
10	either incident.
11	And nobody but the people who were actually present
12	can speak about the truth of what happened on April and
13	December of 2022.
14	So we ask the Court not to admit those hearsay
15	statements.
16	THE COURT: So all of them are consistent. These are
17	interviews of different witnesses who were there, I guess,
18	maybe one of them was the the mother of the victim, the

## (Pause in the proceeding.)

confession, a partial confession.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

victim.

THE COURT: And because they're all consistent, they seem to be reliable to the Court. Does the Government have any of these witnesses here or intend to?

They have a

MS. ZACK: No, your Honor.

The Defendant was interviewed.

1	THE COURT: Yeah. I think okay.
2	MS. ZACK: I I can't imagine that even if we
3	served Ms. Marsteller, who provided an affidavit, that is
4	similarly hearsay, that she would say anything contrary to what
5	this affidavit is.
6	She, however, failed to show up. The reason that
7	these cases were dismissed was missing witness, or a request of
8	complaining witness. However, your Honor, I would proffer to
9	the Court that if the Probation Officer were called to testify,
10	that she was repeatedly given the wrong number for this witness
11	by the Defendant who, by the way, was not supposed to have any
12	contact with this witness.
13	And then eventually got on the phone with her. And
14	that Miss Marsteller told her I'm not saying that this didn't
15	happen. I'm just saying we've moved on.
16	THE COURT: So why was she was there a protective
17	order
18	MS. ZACK: Yes.
19	THE COURT: that was entered at by the
20	MS. ZACK: Well, there was
21	THE COURT: county authorities or whatever?
22	MS. ZACK: It was a bond condition.
23	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
24	MS. ZACK: And
25	THE COURT: On the State charges from the December

1	incident, I presume.
2	MS. ZACK: I believe that this was
3	THE COURT: Or is from the April incident?
4	MS. ZACK: on the December incident, yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Your Honor, if I may?
7	THE COURT: Uh-huh. Sure.
8	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: The Court indicated that there
9	were consistencies in the statements.
10	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
11	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: And there's indications that
12	those statement are reliable?
13	THE COURT: Just because they were generally all
14	consistent about the incident.
15	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: And, your Honor, that may be
16	the case with respect to what the Government presented. But
17	the defense today submitted an affidavit. It is also hearsay.
18	THE COURT: I read it.
19	Was it it wasn't sworn to, just a statement. And
20	it didn't have a a wet signature. Just had a typed
21	signature. Is that what you're talking about?
22	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Yes, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Sure.
24	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: I I intend to call, if
25	if the Court allows these statements in, I intend to call as a
	EDITITUS EDITION CEDITORS

1	witness Miss Ermler, who's an a mitigation specialist who
2	did some investigation in this case.
3	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
4	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: And who spoke with the
5	complaining witness. And can speak to what she would say.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: That is
8	THE COURT: Is
9	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: all to say that there are
10	indications that these statements are are conflicting and
11	are not reliable.
12	And given that, the Court shouldn't admit these
13	unreliable hearsay statements. Sometimes hearsay within
14	hearsay
15	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
16	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: to come into Court today.
17	The Defendant's interest is too great. And the
18	Government's the Government's reason for not bringing these
19	witnesses is not enough to justify the introduction of this
20	evidence.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So
22	MS. ZACK: I can't put on somebody who's going to
23	lie, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: No. No. I understand.
25	But you could call a police officer and say, is that

what she told you? Is this what she told you? And what did you observe? Do you observe the bloody nose? But he already described all that.

MS. ZACK: That's right.

THE COURT: And -- but -- but I'll -- so I'm going to admit the Government's Exhibits. I find them reliable and of probative value.

### (Governments Exhibits were received into evidence.)

THE COURT: I'll admit the statement, unsigned -- unsworn statement of your client that was filed yesterday or this morning. I've read it.

### (Defendants Exhibit was received into evidence.)

THE COURT: Can I consider it? If -- if there is another witness you want to call, you have the right to call witnesses. But if you want to just give me a proffer what they would say, I'll probably just accept that, or the Government probably accepted as -- as what she would say to save you having to call a witness.

But it's up to you. It's your right to call witnesses.

MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Understood, your Honor. Thank
you.

THE COURT: So how would you like to proceed?

MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: We'd like to call the witness.

But if the Government would like to present their evidence

1	first because they have the burden. Perhaps that would
2	THE COURT: Okay. So
3	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: but as the Court prefers.
4	THE COURT: Does the Government have any more
5	evidence besides the exhibits I admitted?
6	MS. ZACK: Just argument, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Oh.
9	THE COURT: Yeah. So now it's your on you.
10	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Okay. All right.
11	Then we will call Miss Ermler to the stand.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	(Pause in the proceeding.)
14	THE COURT: You want to just stand by the microphone.
15	This should be brief.
16	THE WITNESS: Sure.
17	THE COURT: If you'd raise your right hand to be
18	administered the oath.
19	(Witness sworn.)
20	THE COURT: All right. So you've seen this written
21	statement by the victim?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	THE COURT: And when you spoke to her, did she affirm
24	all of those statements?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, she did.

1	I reviewed it line-by-line with her.
2	THE COURT: All right. Did she add anything
3	additional that wasn't in her statement that you think is
4	significant that the Court should consider?
5	THE WITNESS: No. The only correction she had was on
6	a zip code she had mistakenly wrote written down.
7	And then she did confirm that no one had coerced or
8	influenced her to write this statement.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you wanted to ask of
10	her?
11	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: No, your Honor. Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
13	So mitigation specialist, you work with the Public
14	Defender's Office?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. I'm a licensed social
16	worker
17	THE COURT: Perfect.
18	THE WITNESS: as well.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Nice to meet you.
20	I'm not here in Houston that often, but I'm trying to
21	get to know everybody and all the faces. So thank you for
22	being here.
23	I don't think there's any need for cross examination.
24	So you may be seated.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay.

1	(Witness steps down.)
2	THE COURT: Is there any other evidence you'd like to
3	submit?
4	(Pause in the proceeding.)
5	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: No, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Then let me make my findings. Based on
9	the evidence, by a preponderance of the evidence, which is the
10	standard here.
11	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Your Honor, I apologize for
12	the interruption. We did have argument if the Court will
13	allow.
14	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to give each side
15	two minutes.
16	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Understood.
17	THE COURT: All right. I'm trying to have a a
18	meeting at 11 with the U.S. Attorney. And then I have a noon
19	meeting with all the Houston Judges. I'm presiding over both.
20	So if you're going to need more time than just a
21	minutes, we'll have to reset. But but
22	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Understood, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: you can go.
24	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: I think I can be
25	THE COURT: Sure.

### MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: -- relatively brief.

2.3

As the Court was noting, Mr. Kersee -- the Government's alleging that Mr. Kersee violated his supervised release conditions. And the Government needs to prove by a preponderance, not by a reasonable doubt, by a preponderance, which is still a significant standard and burden to overcome, that he has violated the terms of his supervised release.

The Government's evidence of these violations is sparse and unreliable. To support the violations, they have not called any witnesses whatsoever. They haven't called a police officer. They haven't called the complaining witness. They haven't called any of the other witnesses that they say are present during the days of these incidents. They rely entirely on State Court complaints and hearsay statements by police officers.

Those aren't entitled to that much weight for a number of reasons. They contain multiple hearsay. We -- because the Government hasn't called those witnesses, we can't cross examine the officers about whether they're, you know, whether they had the actual ability to proceed. Some of the things that they referenced --

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: We can't cross examine them to test their reliability, their credibility.

We have no idea how credible they are. Or we have no

idea about their history, about their experience. 1 2 THE COURT: Uh-huh. MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: We can't get any of that 3 And there's a reason why hearsay isn't allowed in 4 information. 5 trials, because it's, you know, it's like a game of telephone. Information gets lost the further down the chain that 6 7 you go. And so for that reason, the information isn't 8 reliable. 9 We also had some real proof that the statements -- or 10 these -- these incidents didn't actually happen. 11 Ms. Marsteller has submitted an affidavit. She swore under 12 penalty of perjury that it was true. She spoke to an 13 investigator from our -- or mitigation specialist who 14 investigated this case who verified that she agreed with every 15 single statement in that affidavit. She still says that's 16 true. She signed it. 17 She says she doesn't remember anything that happened 18 April, 2022. She says that on December, it didn't happen the 19 way that the police describe. And she doesn't know how the 20 police got the information that they're putting in this report. 21 And so based on those two conflicting reports, 22 there's just not enough information for the Court to find by a 2.3 preponderance that these violations happened. These cases were all dismissed on the State Court 24

25

level.

1	THE COURT: All right. And I did pro bono work
2	before I became a lawyer for a battered women's shelter. I
3	understand how these how women will, in abusive
4	relationships, will change their mind out of fear, or economic
5	reasons. And again, I'm very familiar with what motivates
6	victims of domestic assault to to change their stories.
7	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: And certainly, your Honor,
8	that happens. But there are also examples of people who say
9	one thing to the police, because they're angry. And it just
10	doesn't turn out to be true.
11	THE COURT: I a hundred percent agree. But this one
12	we had evidence of the truth, given the bloody nose. And then
13	you had other corroborating witnesses. It wasn't just the
14	victim who was who who cried out. There were other
15	people who corroborated this incident.
16	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: And, your Honor, we don't have
17	photos of the bloody nose.
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: We don't have any witnesses to
20	say they saw it. We just have a statement in the police
21	report. We don't know how it got there.
22	THE COURT: All right. Anything else you want to
23	add?
24	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Nothing further, your Honor.
25	Thank you.

1 THE COURT: All right. I don't need any more 2 argument. All right. So it's -- based on the preponderance of 3 the evidence, the Court finds the Defendant violated both --4 5 each provision of supervision to which -- in which we was charged. 6 7 I normally sentence people now. But I can set it for a sentencing hearing if you -- nobody feels like there's a need 8 9 to put this off. 10 MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: No, your Honor. THE COURT: 11 Okay. 12 MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: We'd like to emphasize just a 13 few facts. 14 THE COURT: Sure. Thank you, your Honor. 15 MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: 16 So the Guideline range as the Government, or the 17 Probation Officer has presented to the Court, is four to ten 18 months. 19 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 20 MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: We ask for a sentence at the 21 lowest end of that Guideline range. Mr. Kersee's 38 years old. 22 Born and raised in Houston. He's close with his mother and 2.3 half-sister. 24 He has two children; one -- one is in Sacramento. But one's here in Houston. He co-parents.

# (Pause in the proceeding.)

MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Except for his past federal conviction, he has no significant criminal convictions at all. He has one past conviction for driving while license invalid.

Based on his history and characteristics, we ask the Court to give the low end --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: -- Guideline sentence.

THE COURT: You get to speak also before I sentence you. Is there anything you want to add?

# (Pause in the proceeding.)

**DEFENDANT KERSEE:** No

**THE COURT:** Okay. Anything the Government wants to

14 add?

2.3

MS. ZACK: Just, your Honor, we believe that a sentence at the high end of the Guidelines is appropriate.

This is not one incident. This is multiple incidences. He clearly has an anger management problem. There is, I believe, reliable evidence that he did commit these crimes, regardless of what the victim says.

And as your Honor pointed out, there are multiple motivations as to why she would not be here and would want to remain with someone who finds it necessary to beat the snot out of her.

25 I think that a ten-month sentence would allow the

1	Defendant an opportunity to maybe engage in some anger
2	management counseling while incarcerated.
3	And if he is not able to do that, I believe that when
4	he returns back to supervised release, this Court should amend
5	that order to include anger management counseling so that,
6	either when he is with this individual or any other individual,
7	he has a intimate relationship with, he can conduct himself in
8	accord with the law.
9	THE COURT: Right.
10	And Miss Moke is it Moke or Moke?
11	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER MOKE: Yes, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Miss Moke, so I'm going to I'm going
13	to he's going to have a period of incarceration. I'm
14	putting him back on supervision. He's going to obviously need
15	an anger management course or a conflict resolution course.
16	What other special conditions do I need to impose on
17	him? Out-patient drug? Is that an issue?
18	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER MOKE: He does have a lot of
19	sex offense-specific treatment related cases because of the
20	instant offense.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER MOKE: So we would need all of
23	those
24	THE COURT: All of those.
25	II S PROBATION OFFICER MOKE: as well

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER MOKE: In addition to, which
3	it was recommended, a battering intervention and prevention
4	program.
5	THE COURT: Sure. Okay.
6	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER MOKE: Because of his
7	THE COURT: Right.
8	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER MOKE: arrest.
9	THE COURT: So the Court considers all those factors
10	under 18 U.S.C. 3553(a) to include a sentence I'm going to
11	pronounce satisfies them. And therefore, it's the judgment of
12	this Court, Defendant's committed to the Custody of Bureau of
13	Prison for a term of six months.
14	Upon release from imprisonment, I'm reimposing
15	supervision to the original expiration date with all the
16	conditions he was previously under. And in addition, I'm going
17	to require that he participate in a anger management/conflict
18	resolution course until he completes that program with the
19	approval of his probation officer and program director.
20	That's good, right?
21	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER MOKE: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Perfect. All right. And that's your
23	sentence.
24	You do have two weeks to appeal. If you couldn't

25 afford the cost of appeal, you could ask that I waive them.

1	
1	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: I'm sorry, your Honor. I
2	didn't hear the language the imprisonment terms.
3	THE COURT: Six.
4	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Was it
5	THE COURT: Six months.
6	MS. GILCREASE-GARCIA: Six. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: All right. Good luck to you, sir.
8	You're excused at this time.
9	All right. We'll be in recess.
10	UNITED STATES MARSHAL: All rise.
11	(This proceeding was adjourned at 10:51 a.m.)
12	
13	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>
14	
15	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
16	electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-
17	entitled matter.
18	
19	
20	/s/Cheryl L. Battaglia August 31, 2023
21	
21	Transcriber Date
22	Transcriber Date 4:12-CR-639